

Student \_\_\_\_\_

Mentor \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Expected Grade \_\_\_\_\_

This technical or provisory grade is intended as an indication of the grade range that the bachelor candidate should be able to expect based on the report. The jury will decide the final grade by consensus. The defense session should also be taken into consideration for the final result.

Scope and methodology	Poor	Weak	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
The rationale for the study is well explained	A	B	C	<b>D</b>	E
The research issue(s) / question(s) / hypotheses are described	A	B	C	<b>D</b>	E
The theoretical grounding meets expectations for an IBM graduate	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
Literature review supports the hypotheses/questions/research framework	A	B	C	<b>D</b>	E
Research objectives are described and relevant to the question(s)	A	<b>B</b>	C	D	E
The research methods are clearly described	A	<b>B</b>	C	D	E
The methods fit the research objectives	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E
The data collection is relevant to & representative of the objectives	A	B	<b>C</b>	D	E

Formal aspects	Poor	Weak	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
Structure of the document and respect of guidelines	P	Q	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Professional level of writing (written English)	P	Q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Presentation of exhibits (charts, data...)	P	Q	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The student's conduct has been ethical throughout the process	P	Q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sources are correctly cited throughout	P	<b>Q</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The bibliography is complete and properly formatted	P	Q	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The summaries are adequate (Executive summary, conclusion...)	P	Q	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Results and analysis

Results and analysis	Poor	Weak	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
The data are robust & bring value to the study/results	F	G	<b>H</b>	I	J
The data are interpreted in a coherent and relevant manner	F	G	<b>H</b>	I	J
The data are critically analyzed	F	<b>G</b>	H	I	J
The data are put into perspective and analyzed in proper context	F	<b>G</b>	H	I	J
The important results are clearly identified	F	G	H	<b>I</b>	J

### Recommendations

Recommendations	Poor	Weak	Acceptable	Good	Excellent
The recommendations answer the research question(s)	K	<b>L</b>	M	N	O
A credible implementation plan is proposed	<b>K</b>	L	M	N	O
The recommendations are applicable to the specific case of the partner organization/industry	<b>K</b>	L	M	N	O
The different recommendations are prioritized	K	<b>L</b>	M	N	O
The candidate demonstrates independent thinking through her/his conclusions	K	L	<b>M</b>	N	O

Number of	Coef.	Score	Points	Grade range
A	0	× 1 =	0	100 - 105
B	2	× 2 =	4	90 - 99
C	3	× 3 =	9	79 - 88
D	3	× 4 =	12	69 - 78
E	0	× 5 =	0	62 - 68
		Sub-total =	25	52 - 61
F	0	× 1 =	0	< 51
G	2	× 3 =	6	1.0 - 3.0
H	2	× 4 =	8	
I	1	× 5 =	5	
J	0	× 6 =	0	
		Sub-total =	19	
K	2	× 1 =	2	
L	2	× 2 =	4	
M	1	× 4 =	4	
N	0	× 5 =	0	
O	0	× 7 =	0	
		Sub-total =		
P		× -3 =		
Q		× -2 =		
		Sub-total =		
		Total =		